

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/980,376	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HAUMONT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JOEL AJAYI	<b>Art Unit</b> 2617	

  

**All Participants:**  
 (1) JOEL AJAYI  
 (2) NATHANIEL QUIRK  
**Date of Interview:** 18 January 2011

**Status of Application:** RCE  
 (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (4) \_\_\_\_\_  
**Time:** 3:00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:  
1, 9, 12, 13, 16, 97,99

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*Examiner proposed an examiner's amendment. Attorney will look into it and obtain applicant's approval.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)